MEMBA18 MBM018 Corporate Finance (MBM018 C)
Response rate = 60% (27 of 45)

CITY
UNIVERSITY OF LONDON
EST 1894

Overall indicators

Global Index
- av.=4.1
  dev.=0.8

For this member of staff (Scale width: 5)
- av.=4.3
  dev.=0.8

My progress on this Module (assessment and feedback) (Scale width: 5)
- av.=4.2
  dev.=0.7

Library Resources (Scale width: 5)
- av.=3.9
  dev.=1

For this Module (Scale width: 5)
- av.=3.9
  dev.=0.9

Survey Results

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. Mean
25% 0% 50% 0% 25%

Left pole   Right pole

Scale   1 2 3 4 5   Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. For this member of staff

**1.1) This lecturer/ tutor/ supervisor is good at explaining things and has helped me understand the module**

- Definitely disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Definitely agree

50%
0%
4%
4%
42%

n=26
av.=4.4
dev.=0.8
ab.=1

1.2) This lecturer/ tutor/ supervisor has made the module interesting

- Definitely disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Definitely agree

41%
0%
7%
7%
44%

n=27
av.=4.2
dev.=0.9

1.3) The teaching on this module has been of a high standard.

- Definitely disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Definitely agree

48%
0%
4%
7%
41%

n=27
av.=4.3
dev.=0.8

2. My progress on this Module (assessment and feedback)

2.1) I understand the assessment criteria and what is required of me to perform well in this module

- Definitely disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Definitely agree

22%
0%
4%
11%
63%

n=27
av.=4
dev.=0.7

2.2) I have received helpful comments on my progress and/ or work I have submitted

- Definitely disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Definitely agree

15%
0%
54%
31%

n=13
av.=3.8
dev.=0.7
ab.=14

2.3) Staff have been available to respond to my queries about the module and/ or my work

- Definitely disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Definitely agree

55%
0%
5%
40%

n=20
av.=4.5
dev.=0.6
ab.=7

3. For this Module

3.1) This module is well organised and is running smoothly

- Definitely disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Definitely agree

15% 56%
4%
7%
19%

n=27
av.=3.7
dev.=1

3.2) I have been able to access the library resources I need for this module

- Definitely disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Definitely agree

23% 55%
5%
5%
14%

n=22
av.=3.9
dev.=1
ab.=5

3.3) The Moodle resources for this module have supported my learning

- Definitely disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Definitely agree

33%
0%
21% 46%

n=24
av.=4.1
dev.=0.7
ab.=3

3.4) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

- Definitely disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Definitely agree

30%
0%
7% 52%
11%

n=27
av.=4
dev.=0.9

3.5) Ethical issues were appropriately covered in this module

- Definitely disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Definitely agree

25%
0%
12% 62%

n=16
av.=4.1
dev.=0.6
ab.=11

Profile

1. For this member of staff

1.1) This lecturer/ tutor/ supervisor is good at explaining things and has helped me understand the module

Definitely disagree — Definitely agree

n=26 av.=4.4 md=4.5 dev.=0.8

1.2) This lecturer/ tutor/ supervisor has made the module interesting

Definitely disagree — Definitely agree

n=27 av.=4.2 md=4.0 dev.=0.9

1.3) The teaching on this module has been of a high standard.

Definitely disagree — Definitely agree

n=27 av.=4.3 md=4.0 dev.=0.8

2. My progress on this Module (assessment and feedback)

2.1) I understand the assessment criteria and what is required of me to perform well in this module

Definitely disagree — Definitely agree

n=27 av.=4.0 md=4.0 dev.=0.7

2.2) I have received helpful comments on my progress and/ or work I have submitted

Definitely disagree — Definitely agree

n=13 av.=3.8 md=4.0 dev.=0.7

2.3) Staff have been available to respond to my queries about the module and/ or my work

Definitely disagree — Definitely agree

n=20 av.=4.5 md=5.0 dev.=0.6

3. For this Module

3.1) This module is well organised and is running smoothly

Definitely disagree — Definitely agree

n=27 av.=3.7 md=4.0 dev.=1.0

3.2) I have been able to access the library resources I need for this module

Definitely disagree — Definitely agree

n=22 av.=3.9 md=4.0 dev.=1.0

3.3) The Moodle resources for this module have supported my learning

Definitely disagree — Definitely agree

n=24 av.=4.1 md=4.0 dev.=0.7

3.4) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module

Definitely disagree — Definitely agree

n=27 av.=4.0 md=4.0 dev.=0.9

3.5) Ethical issues were appropriately covered in this module

Definitely disagree — Definitely agree

n=16 av.=4.1 md=4.0 dev.=0.6

Comments Report

4. Free Text Questions

4.1) What are the best features of this module?

- I have enjoyed this course.

   Please give further details during the exam preparation how we can do well/very well for the course exam. Thanks

- Detailed explanation of the subject was great.

- Everyone was put on the same level, no assumption of previous knowledge was made. This, combined with clear and simple explanations, made the module not only digestible but interesting and easy to follow.

- I really liked how the lecturer referred to very recent corporate finance articles in the FT to bring the module alive.

- Lecturer very enthusiastic about the topic.

- Loved the real time hand written notes format of the lecturer

- Meziane has shown that when there is willingness to provide a Revision class, there is always a way. I would hope that Cass follows his lead with MEMBA students in the future as it has been disappointing for the other subjects. Well done Meziane! this really adds value to the MBA experience as it gives us the possibility to focus more and saves us immense time when preparing the subject.

   The course is very up-to-date and relevant.

   Topics are very well covered and the notes written in class are a fantastic method for that class to follow and study. Well done.

- Meziane was a very amiable tutor but please see improvements below

- N/A

- The delivery and notes were very thorough and linked into the first strategy module seemlessly. Elena explained the module at all points and gave enough space for questions. There was a guest lecturer who was able to deliver a very good introduction to corporate strategy in practise. The only lecturer to provide notes too! Thank you Elena!

- The lecturer clearly enjoyed the lecture and subject

- The teacher is a very good teacher.
  Good examples

- This was a very practical and extremely interesting module. I thoroughly enjoyed it. Also it was great to have the review class.

- doing the JetBlue calculations at the end

- the IPO section
  in general how it is focused on evaluation and funding

- the lecturer cares

   he is a great educator who makes time for his student above and beyond the course requirement

4.2) How could this module be improved?

- Allocating more time to the module

- I am not sure that this module should be separate from financial markets as the two are so interrelated. In addition, this module could have been better structured and we didn't really get past session 2 of 4, so assume we have not covered everything we should have.

- I think the lecturer found it challenging to cover all the content in two days. Also, it felt time more complex topics like IPO valuation were left to the very last. It would have been better to cover those on the first day or second day morning

- If we can have a guest speaker to explain us an IPO for example

- More clear example of ethical issues in corporate strategy at present.

- More time on the subject would help understand the subject. Currently 2 days is not enough.
  In addition, this subject should be run on Friday and Saturday because it is very intense and learning on a Monday afternoon is not at is best. Corporate strategy would be more suited for a Monday afternoon.

- Needed more time. And to allow less class questions as we just didn't have enough time to get through everything. Definitely need a revision module.

- Nothing to be improved. The lecturer has already gone the extra mile to host an additional session and cover the topics we didn't

manage to do in class. This is very much appreciated.

- Perhaps some topics can be covered in less detail, e.g the introduction to different companies to ensure we cover the basics of Capital structure. we would have only needed an extra hour, so may be starting on time even if the students are late is appropriate.

  There are extremely few copies of the Damodaran book in library and they are all short loan books which is not good for MEMBA students. Please address that, or buy that book for the cohort, even if slightly more expensive.

- Prof Meziane teaching is of very high quality, he is very clear and able to explain complex topics in a very simplified format, easy to digest.
  I had the feeling though that the pace of the course was too slow at the beginning and we ended up rushing to be able to close on time..

- The JetBlue case was very interesting but we ran over time and it was very rushed was a real shame. I would have liked to have had more time for this section.

- The module was a bit slow in pace at some stages. By the end of the first day we had barely covered the introduction.

- Timing on the module was poor - which meant we covered very little, with a whole half day missed out and another half day rushed in a couple of hours which resulted in a lack of understanding.

  Lots of formula used with little explanation.

- Too much time was spent on corporate governance issues. Would have liked more time spent on valuation/numerical work instead.

- Try to fit less in so we can spend more time on the Jet Blue case study at the end as it seemed a bit rushed and this is what we are meant to replicate for our assignment

- an external host telling his or her story

- no comment

- there is far too much to cover in 2 days. we don't get to spend enough time learning in depth the subjects we are to be assessed on.

- with more time

4.3) Can you provide an example of an ethical issue you thought was well covered or you thought could be appropriately covered in this module?

- ALL the ones covered were good and current

- N/A (2 Counts)

- n/a

- no.